

Pentest + Vulnerability Scanning

Author: Joseph Lee

Email: joseph@ripplesoftware.ca

Mobile: 778-725-3206

Vulnerability Management Program

Vulnerability Management Program Overview

- **Identify, prioritize** and **remediate** vulnerabilities
- Organized approach to scanning with defined workflow
- Scans must be interpreted and verified by trained analyst
- · Continuous assessment / monitoring
 - Includes data from **agent-based** approaches to vulnerability detection
 - Reports security related information to the vulnerability management platform
 - Post remediation activities include re-scanning infrastructure

Risk Appetite

- Willingness to tolerate risk
- Determines the actions taken such as if remediation actions are taken
- Sometimes costs of remediation outweigh the risks

ITSM – IT Service Management

- Tracking system for IT issues and vulnerabilities
- Integration between vulnerability scanners and ITSM can improve workflow
- ITSM feeds into the remediation workflow

IT Governance and Change Management Process

- May create bureaucratic hurdles to making remediation / patching vulnerabilities
- Regulatory environments can affect vulnerability scanning
 - PCI-DSS / PCI-SSC (Security Standards Council)
 - Requires both internal and external testing
 - Must schedule vulnerability scans every quarter (3 months) or after significant changes to network infrastructure
 - Internal scans must be conducted by qualified personnel (certified and / or experienced)
 - Must remediate high-risk vulnerabilities, if found, and repeat scans iteratively
 - External scans must be conducted by Approved Scanning Vendor (ASV) authorized by PCI Security Standards Council (PCI-SSC)
 - Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (FISMA) and Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (FISMA)
 - Requires **government agencies** to comply with security standards
 - Systems are categorized into
 - Low impact
 - Moderate impact

- High impact
- Further guidance found in:
 - FIPS-199 Standards for Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information System
 - NIST SP 800-53

Corporate Policy

- Many organizations implement corporate policy for pen-testing outside legal requirements
- **Fiduciary responsibility** to shareholders is a semi-legal grey area that motivates continuous monitoring and defence in depth
- Cyber-insurance is becoming more popular choice for corporations to mitigate risk
 - Policy premium is affected by corporate security posture
 - Insurance may mitigate financial risk to the company, but may not be able to replace destroyed data or prevent loss of brand reputation resulting from data breach

Vulnerability Scanning

- Scanning tools can be automated / scheduled for **continuous monitoring**
- Reports can be delivered automatically over secure channels
- Reports should include critical details such as
 - Name of the vulnerability
 - Overall severity
 - Detailed description
 - Ports/hosts
 - Risk information (CVSS score and vector)
 - Plugin that detected the vulnerability
 - Solution / remediation
 - References to more information from vendors / security researchers
- Remote vulnerability scans may result in high number of false positives or low confidence findings and so should be supplemented with more detailed scans or intrusion attempts (Check SOW beforehand)
- Vulnerability scans are used by both internal cybersecurity teams (blue team) and pentesters (red team)
- White box vulnerability scanning
 - Credentialed scans can allow remote access to the host to supplement information and provide more detailed info than external service / port scans
 - Agent based scanning uses a software agent on the host to determine server configurations / service scans
 - Consideration for virtual-machines and containerization
 - May result in **false negatives** (not finding the services) when using traditional network based vulnerability scanning

Agent based scanning can work better in these circumstances

Scheduling

- Required timeframes for scans can depend on regulatory requirements, compliance, or business operations / policy
- Determined by the SOW / contract
- Risk appetite can also determine how often to conduct scans
- Technical constraints may limit frequency of scanning
- Business constraints can prevent resource intensive scans during business hours
- Budget / resource constraints can limit scanning frequency
- Scanning agent licensing limitations can limit scanner or number of scans that can be conducted per day / month, etc
- Start slow and increase to prevent overwhelming resources / bandwidth
- Customized scans designed specifically for the organization or resources

Service Focused Scans

∘ IoT

- Devices maybe using bluetooth / bluetooth mesh / or other fixed / mobile radio frequency spectrum
- Data in transit maybe unencrypted
- Interrupting / jamming the device's frequency range may disable devices

Applications

- Vendor / distribution and version detection can be externally scanned with Nmap / other scanning software and mapped to known vulnerabilities
- Source code analysis with agents or manually can provide more assurance
- Vendors may provide security bulletins regarding newly discovered / patched vulnerabilities
- Credentialed scans can provide more details about service configuration and reduce false positives or find false negatives
- Various scanning agents may detect different vulnerabilities so vendor diversity is important
- Often remediation involves a **reconfiguration** or **update** to service version
- Non-critical services should be disabled / uninstalled
- Source code analysis may uncover unneeded modules which should be removed
- Common Criteria reports may be available to provide details on security guidelines / configuration for the service application with an evaluation assurance level (EAL)

Operating systems

- Vendor / distribution and version detection can be externally scanned with Nmap / other scanning software and mapped to known vulnerabilities
- Vendors may provide security bulletins regarding newly discovered / patched vulnerabilities
- Credentialed scans can provide more details about service configuration and reduce false positives or find false negatives

- Remove unneeded user accounts / software packages
- Scanning for file permissions can detect mis-configured permissions that can be remediated with least privilege
- Common Criteria reports may be available to provide details on security guidelines / configuration for the service application with an evaluation assurance level (EAL)

Scan perspective

- Conducting scans from different locations on the network (internal / external)
- Conducting credentialed scans or black-box scans provided different levels of assurance / information

Identify scan targets

- Approach to building an asset inventory be require a complete asset catalog or limited depending on requirements of contract / SOW
- Vulnerability scanner plugins should be updated regularly
- Targets should include

Data in storage

- Local hard-drives
- Network attached storage
- Cloud storage

Data in use

Data in RAM

Data in transit

- Data sniffed on the wire
- Data sniffed in Wifi spectrum
- Other endpoints to consider
 - Public facing IP(s)
 - Private WAN / private leased network
 - Traceroute of intermediary appliances such as switches / routers / hubs /
 IDS / IPS / Firewalls / VPN concentrator / Remote desktop concentrators
 - MX records can provide details about mail-servers
 - **TXT records** can provide details about 3rd party cloud services
 - Sublist3r can provide DNS records for other sub-domains
- QualysGuard / Nmap / other scanners provide asset inventory functionality
- Data classification and valuation of assets can determine remediation prioritization

Scoping

- Use **network segmentation** to limit the scope of the network that needs to be scanned
 - Using subnet CIDR or VLAN to limit scope
- Endpoints on large networks can be categorized and tested categorically instead of testing each system
- Example: **PCI-DSS** has requirements on network segmentation

- Configure scanning software to specific needs of the assessment
- Create templates / workflow for various types of scans

Critical / Fragile systems

- Systems critical to business operations should be considered for time to schedule scans as to **not interrupt critical business operations**
- Systems can be classified into production / test / development systems
- ICS, IoT, medical equipment should be tested in testing environment first rather than production

Customer Commitments

- MOU (Memorandum of understanding) and SLA (Service level agreements)
 create expectations related to uptime, performance, and security and should be considered when planning a pen-test
- Scanning may negatively impact uptime availability so customers should be notified of these risks

Stealth

- Use stealth settings to avoid detection especially if red-teaming / organization's employees are not aware of pen-test activity
- Better approximates the activity of real-world attacks
- If not red-teaming or deadlines required then skip stealth modes

Documented Exceptions

- Organizations may decide to not remediate a vulnerability for some reason
 - Reliance on legacy systems maybe required for operations
 - Cost / risk analysis may prove to costly
 - Exceptions can be documented so the results don't show up in scans to save time
 - Be aware that creating an exception may violate legal or industry standard compliance or go against best practices

Vulnerability Scan Analysis

- Scanners produce reports that need to be interpreted by trained analyst
- Validating Scan results

False positives

- Analyst should verify all results found buy automated scanner
- Testing the vulnerability by exploitation if possible (check SOW)
- Scanner that use the CVSS standards allow faster mapping of vulnerabilities to risk
- Reconcile scanner results with other data sources
 - **Logs** from servers, applications, network devices, etc.
 - SIMS / SEMS / SIEMS
 - Correlated log entries from networks / systems
 - Configuration Management Systems

• Provide information on the operating system, applications, etc.

Trend analysis

- Industry reports on attack trends can help calculate risk / point to new vectors that should be scanned
 - OWASP top 10
 - https://owasp.org/www-project-top-ten/
 - IBM X-Force Threat Intelligence
 - https://www.ibm.com/security/xforce
 - TrendMicro Threat Reports
 - https://www.trendmicro.com/vinfo/us/security/research-and-analysis/threat-reports
- The age of existing vulnerabilities can determine accessibility to exploit code
 - Older vulnerabilities are more likely to have more sophisticated and readily available exploits
- Trend analysis can help stay ahead of the attackers and provide defence in depth
- Trend analysis reports are available built-into some vulnerability scanning software
- Analysts should stay in touch with most common vulnerabilities and categories

NIST SP 800-53 Security Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations

- All federal systems must conform to NIST SP-800 53 irregardless of their categorization
- **FIPS 199** provides standards for categorization of federal systems
 - Risk categories are applied to each **C** (confidentiality), **I** (integrity), **A** (availability)
 - LOW
 - MODERATE
 - HIGH

NIST SP 800-53 Control Description

- **a.** Scans for vulnerabilities in the information system and hosted applications and when new vulnerabilities are reported
- b. Employs vulnerability scanning tools and techniques that facilitate interoperability among tools and automate parts of the vulnerability scanning process by using standards for:
 - 1. Enumerating platforms, software flaws, and improper configurations
 - 2. Formatting checklists and test procedures
 - **3.** Measuring vulnerability impact
- c. Analyzes vulnerability scan reports and results from security control assessments
- **d.** Remediate legitimate vulnerabilities in accordance with an organizational assessment of risk
- **e.** Shares information obtained from the vulnerability scanning process and security control assessments to help eliminate similar vulnerabilities in other information

SCAP – Security Content Automation Protocol

- Led by NIST to create standardized approach for communicating security-related information
- NIST SP 800-117 Guide to Adopting and Using Security Content Automation Protocol
 - https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/security-content-automation-protocol/
- CCE Common Configuration Enumeration
 - Standard language for system configuration issues
- CPE Common Platform Enumeration
 - Standard language for describing product names and versions
- CVE Common Vulnerability Enumeration
 - Standard language for describing security-related software flaws
- CVSS Common Vulnerability Scoring System
 - Standard language for describing severity of security-related software flaws
- XCCDF Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format
 - Language for specifying checklists and reporting checklist results
- OVAL Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language
 - Language for specifying low-level testing procedures used by checklists
- Newly added since 2011
 - OCIL Open Checklist Interactive Language
 - Defines a framework for expressing a set of questions to be presented to a user and corresponding procedures to interpret responses to these questions
 - AID Asset Identification
 - Provides the necessary constructs to uniquely identify assets based on known identifiers and/or known information about the assets
 - ARF Asset Reporting Format
 - Data model to express the transport format of information about assets, and the relationships between assets and reports
 - CCSS Common Configuration Scoring System
 - Set of measures of the severity of software security configuration issues derived from CVSS
 - Specifically applies to configuration as opposed to CVSS which applies to vulnerabilities
 - TMSAD Trust Model for Security Automation Data
 - Describes a common trust model that can be applied to specifications within the security automation domain, such as Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP)
 - SWID Software Identification tags
 - Files containing descriptive information about a specific release of a software product
 - Defines a lifecycle where a SWID Tag is added to an endpoint as part of the

software product's **installation process** and **deleted** by the **product's uninstall process**

 Designed to ensure that all deployed software assets are configured according to their organizations' security policies

CVSS – Common Vulnerability Scoring System

- Rating the vulnerability on **6 different measures**:
 - Access vector How an attacker will exploit the vulnerability
 - L Local (+ 0.395) Must have physical access or logical access to the affected system
 - A Adjacent Network (+ 0.646) Must have access to the local network that the affected system is connected to
 - N Network (+ 1) The attacker can exploit the vulnerability remotely over a network
 - Access complexity Difficulty level in exploiting the vulnerability
 - **H High (+ 0.350)** Requires specialized conditions/skills that are difficult to find
 - M Medium (+ 0.610) Requires somewhat specialized conditions/skills
 - L Low (+ 0.710) Does not require any special conditions/skills
 - **Authentication** Describes the authentication required to exploit the vulnerability
 - M Multiple (+ 0.450) Two or more authentications required
 - S Single (+ 0.560) One authentication required
 - N None (+ 0.704) No authentication required
 - Confidentiality Describes the type of information disclosure that might occur
 - **N None (+ 0)** There is no information disclosure
 - P Partial (+ 0.275) Access to some information but the attacker does not have complete freedom over what information is disclosed
 - C Complete (+0.660) All information on the system is compromised
 - **Integrity** Whether or not information or system configuration can be altered
 - N None (+ 0) No information or system config can be altered
 - P Partial (+ 0.275) Modification of some information is possible, but attacker does not have complete control over what information is modified
 - **C Complete (+ 0.660)** The entire system integrity is compromised, and the attacker can change any information
 - **Availability –** The type of disruption possible
 - N None (+ 0) No availability impact
 - P Partial (+ 0.275) System performance is degraded
 - **C Complete (+ 0.660)** Complete system shutdown / unavailable
- CVSS Vector Uses a single line format to convey the ratings of a vulnerability on all six metrics
 - Example: CVSS2#AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:P/I:N/A:N
- Summarizing CVSS Score

- Exploitability = 20 X Access Vector X Access Complexity X Authentication
- Exploitability for above vector: 20 X 1 X 0.610 X 0.704
- Exploitability = 8.589

Impact Score

- Impact Score = 10.41 X (1 (1 Confidentiality) X (1 Integrity) X (1 Availability))
- ∘ For the above vector: 10.41 X (1 (0.725) X (1) X (1))
- Impact = 10.41 X 0.275
- Impact = 2.863

Impact Function

- If impact score is 0, impact function = 0
- Else impact function = 1.176

CVSS Base Score

- Base Score = ((0.6 X Impact) + (0.4 X Exploitability) 1.5) X Impact Function
- Base Score for above example = 4.297

Nessus Risk categories:

- CVSS < 4.0 Low
- CVSS > 4.0 and < 6.0 Medium
- CVSS > 6.0 and < 10 High
- CVSS = 10 Critical

Software Security Testing

Static Code Analysis / Source Code Analysis

- Considered white-box testing
- Decompilation required for compiled proprietary software
- OWASP provides static code analysis tools:
 - .NET, Java, PHP, C, JSP, and others
 - https://owasp.org/www-community/controls/Static_Code_Analysis

Dynamic Code Analysis

Fuzzing / monkey fuzzing / fault injection

- Noisy will attract attention from blue team / cybersecurity dept.
- Fuzzing process can be time intensive
- Fuzzed data input can be remediated by strict data input handling

Monkey fuzzing

- Sending random data to check behaviour of an application / service
- May result in DOS or trigger other vulnerability

Software Vulnerability Scanners

- Full descriptions available in Exploit_Tools.pdf
- Web-Application Scanners
 - Acunetix WVS

- Arachni
- IBM AppScan
- HP WebInspect
- Netsparker
- QualysGuard Web-Application Scanner
- W3AF
- Nikto / Nikto2
- Nessus
- Nexpose

Interception Proxies

- TamperData
- Fiddler
- Burp-Suite

Database Vulnerabilities

- SQLMap
- SQLNinja

Remediation Workflow Cycle

Testing

- Testing can happen in testing / sandbox environment
- Since the process is a cycle, any remediations should be tested

Detection

- Service degradation is concern when testing production environments
- Query throttling and scheduling can alleviate service degradation

Remediation

- Prioritization of vulnerabilities
- Criticality of the systems and information affected by the vulnerability
- Difficulty in remediating the vulnerability
- Severity of the vulnerability
- Exposure of the vulnerability
- Document all steps taken in remediation process

Common IT Vulnerabilities

Server and Endpoints

• Remotely available endpoints are easy to attack

Missing Patches / Updates

 \circ $\,$ Core element of any information security program / management system

Mobile devices

o Often require separate individual scanning since they may not be on the network at

all times

Unsupported OS and Applications / Legacy

- Limit access / Air-gap these devices as much as possible
- Increase monitoring of legacy devices
- Strict firewall rules
- Apply IDS / IPS in the network
- Uninstall unneeded applications (i.e. browsers)

Buffer Overflows

- Inserting more data into memory than is allocated to the application
- Overwrites other information in memory
- If writing into executable memory then the code may be executed
- Caused by programming errors / bad exception handling / bad error handling

Privilege Escalation

- Increase the level of access that attacker has to target system
- Highest level is root, admin or superuser
- Example: Dirty COW
 - https://dirtycow.ninja/

Arbitrary Code Execution

- Allows attacker to run software code of their choice on the system
- Catastrophic for security if run with root or admin privileges

Remote code execution

- More dangerous subset of code execution vulnerabilities
- Attacker can run code from a network connection

Hardware

- Meltdown and Spectre
- Microcode in hardware
- Shimmed drivers

Firmware Vulnerabilities

- Code may contain vulnerabilities
- Often lack auto-updating mechanism
- Often remain un-patched
- The firmware update vector can be attacked by attackers and shimmed with malicious firmware
- BIOS attacks are very low level attack on system

Embedded Systems

- Often have full operating systems with network access on them
- Can be good initial entry point to a network
- Credentials are often left as default

Insecure Protocols

- Telnet / FTP and any unencrypted protocols
 - Credentials can be sniffed
 - Data can be injected / altered in transit

- Switch to more secure protocols instead
- Authentication protocols that have been broken
 - Kerber-ROAST
- **Encryption protocols** that have been broken
 - SSL Secure Socket Layer
- Encryption ciphers / cryptographic algorithms that are weak
 - Can be sniffed and decrypted
 - DES / RC4
- Certificate problems
 - Are these being checked and authenticated properly?
 - Mismatch between name on cert and name on server
 - Expiration of the digital certificate
 - Unknown certificate authority

DNS Domain Name System

- DNS amplification attacks
- o Internal IP disclosure
 - Application packet headers
 - VPN packets

Virtualization

- VM escape
- Management Interface Access
- VM Guests
 - Contain all the vulnerabilities that a regular host would and so they must be patched
 - Provide an attacker with full network access same as regular host on the network

Other network devices

- ∘ IoT
- SCADA
- o ICS
- Embedded systems
- RTOS
- Web application vulnerabilities
 - Injection attacks
 - Cross-site scripting (XSS)
- Debug Mode
 - If server left in debug mode critical data can be transferred over the network
 - Users who have been given debug permissions may have admin privileged access

Vulnerability Information Sources

Mitre CVE

- https://cve.mitre.org/cve/
- A list of publicly disclosed cybersecurity vulnerabilities that is free to search, use, and incorporate into products and services

NVD – National Vulnerability Database

- https://nvd.nist.gov/
- Launched by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in 2005
- Uses the Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP)
- Provides API, bulk-downloads, and web-interface

CVE Details

- https://www.cvedetails.com/
- https://www.itsecdb.com/oval/
- Provides a web interface to all IT security related items including patches, vulnerabilities and compliance checklists
- Collects OVAL (Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language) definitions from several sources
 - Mitre
 - Red Hat
 - Suse
 - NVD
 - Apache

Bugtraq ID (BID)

- https://www.securityfocus.com/bid/
- CVE to BugTraq ID concordance
 - https://cve.mitre.org/data/refs/refmap/source-BID.html

VulnDB

- https://vulndb.cyberriskanalytics.com/
- Proprietary paid product
- Based on now depreciated OSVDB Open Source Vulnerability Database

Veracode (2017)

• https://info.veracode.com/report-state-of-software-security.html

OWASP Top 10 Security Issues

https://owasp.org/www-project-top-ten/